

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/30/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,862	06/28/2001	Naoya Hashimoto	Q65135	3124
•	590 05/30/2002			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS			EXAMINER	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037		ADDISON, KAREN B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2924	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/892,862	HASHIMOTO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Karen B Addison	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, howevely within the statutory minited will apply and will expire Soute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	•						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-fin	al.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allocal closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		rmal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	ation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from considera	ition.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requiren	nent.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	• •						
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/892,862

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over APA (applicants prior art) in view of Ryang (6,159,600).

APA substantially teaches the claim invention except that is does not show the bobbin e outer molding and conducting wires compose of an electrically-insulating material resistant to permeation by sulfur and means for preventing sulfur compounds from permeating the electrically insulating layer and attendantly reducing the formation of sulfur compounds on a surface of the conducting wire, thereby suppressing the reduction in adhesive strength of the electrically insulating layer to said conducting wire, wire breakage, and short circuiting between the conducting wires.

Ryang discloses the electrically insulating layer resistant to permeation made of a material (thermal setting resin) coated on the bobbin, outer molding, and conductive wires (col.23, lines 20-32) having means for preventing sulfur compounds from permeating the electrically insulating layer and attendantly reducing the formation of sulfur compounds on a surface of the conducting wire, thereby suppressing the

Application/Control Number: 09/892,862

Art Unit: 2834

reduction in adhesive strength of the electrically insulating layer to the conducting wire, wire breakage, and short circuiting between the conducting wires by means of thermal setting resin for the purpose of; avoiding degradation of material under high field intensity environment. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to modify the electromagnetic device of APA with the electrical insulating layer of Ryang for purpose avoiding degradation of the material under high field intensity environment.

It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a thermosetting resin as the material of the insulating layer since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re leshin,125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-2 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/892,862

Art Unit: 2834

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen B Addison whose telephone number is 703-306-5855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 703-308-1317. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

NESTOR RAMIREZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

KBA May 24, 2002